

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR	ATTO	RNEY DOCKET NO.
09/118,010	07/17/98	YAMAZAKI	<u>e</u>	0756-	·1838
			¬ [EXAMINER	
022204 NIXON FEABODY, LLP		MMC2/0323			
3180 GREENSB	ORO DRIVE		AR1	TUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BUITE 800 MCLEAN VA 22	102		2822 DATE M	AILED:	23
		•		03/2	23/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COP

± 1.

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Adhilos vo Astron	09/118,010						
Advisory Action	Examiner	YAMAZAKI ET AL. Art Unit					
	Maria Guerrero						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	2822 orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.							
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:							
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
6. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8 and 11-37</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .							
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner.							
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 19.22.							
11. Other:							
		Stephen D. Meier Primary Examiner					





Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Wakai and Takenouchi's combination show the claimed feature of providing a resinous interlayer insulating layer on a resinous substrate (Takenouchi et al., Abstract, col. 3, lines 55-60). Regarding the process steps presented as rebuttal evidence, The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (citations omitted).